

COURT NO. 1
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

96.

RA 46/2024 with MA 4026/2024 in OA 2987/2022

Union of India & Ors. Applicant
Versus
MWO (HFO) Ram Kishan (Retd) Respondents
For Applicant : Mr. Kumar Gaurav, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr. Manoj Kr Gupta, Advocate

WITH

97.

MA 5232/2023 in OA 2987/2022

MWO (HFO) Ram Kishan (Retd) Applicant
Versus
Union of India & Ors. Respondents
For Applicant : Mr. Manoj Kr Gupta, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr. Kumar Gaurav, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE LT GEN C.P. MOHANTY, MEMBER (A)

O R D E R
20.11.2024

RA 46/2024 with MA 4026/2024

This application has been filed for review of the order passed in OA 2987/2022 wherein respondents were directed to grant one notional increment to the applicant based on the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.2471 of 2023 decided on 11.04.2023 titled as *The Director (Admn. And HR) KPTCL and Others* Vs. *C.P. Mundinamani and Others* [(2023) SCC Online SC 401] and

were granted liberty to verify the records and pass speaking order in case the applicant was not entitled to the said benefit. Now a Review Application has been filed on the ground that the applicant has not completed one full year for grant of notional increment. For the said purpose, filing of Review Application is not required. Respondents to pass a speaking order indicating the reasons as to why the applicant cannot be granted notional increment and convey the same to the applicant thereafter the applicant, if so advised, can challenge the same afresh in accordance with law.

3. That being so, we see no error apparent on face of record or any reason to recall the order passed in OA.

4. Accordingly, RA stands disposed of.

MA 5232/2023 in OA 2987/2022

5. List again on 10th January, 2025.

6. Let a copy of this order be provided *DASTI* to learned counsel for the parties.

**[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]
CHAIRPERSON**

**[LT GEN C.P. MOHANTY]
MEMBER (A)**

**Priya
RA 46/2024**